

## REMARKS

Claims 3 to 6, 12, 13, 17, 19 to 22, and 27 through 35 continue to be under consideration.

### *The Office Action refers to Claim Objections*

1. Claim 32 stands objected to because of the following informalities: The claim recites for "the ratchet head drive shaft is constructed like the drive socket" in line 8, whereas no drive socket is recited earlier in the claim, but it does recite for a drive socket (202A) in line 11; Applicant may wish to place this recitation after line 11; further "extension shaft (102)" in line 11 should be changed to, -extension shaft (202)-. It is also noted that lines 18-26 appear to recite a method of assembly, inappropriate for an article claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Applicants correct claim 32 in this amendment. As to lines 18 to 26, these lines are intended to specify the interaction between individual elements of applicants' structure.. Details as to how to improve language in this context are very much welcomed by the applicants.

Reconsideration of all outstanding rejections is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

GARY BOCCADUTRE and PAUL V. MANNINO

By: Horst M Kasper

Horst M. Kasper, their attorney,  
13 Forest Drive, Warren, N.J. 07059  
Tel.:(908)526-1717 Fax:(908)526-6977  
Reg. No. 28,559; Docket No.: FRB211

rep/am